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Lessons learnt

• MIG-T face-to-face meetings
  • work reasonably well to prepare proposals for MIG meeting
  • largely driven by preparations by the EC+EEA
  • little input / preparation by MS representatives (this meeting is somewhat an exception)

• MIG-T virtual meetings
  • largely one-way information EC+EEA → MS
  • very little discussion
Lessons learnt

- Dedicated meetings, workshops and webinars
  - attract wider audience of practitioners in the MS
  - often interesting feedback and discussions
  - Sometimes difficult to attract appropriate/knowledgeable participants

- MIG-T ad-hoc actions
  - depend a lot on the motivation and availability of action leads
  - little progress on SDW actions
Proposal

• Keep face-to-face meetings twice per year (Apr + Oct)
  • continue “Prague” approach of inviting MS presentations
  • focus on a few selected topics and prepare them well (all participants)
  • prepare input and proposals for MIG meetings in Nov/Dec and Jun

• Reduce virtual meetings to two per year (Dec and Jun)
  • 1-2 weeks after the MIG meeting
  • extend to 180 min, with 2 blocks
    • Information sharing: status of on-going actions, standardisation etc.
    • In-depth presentation and discussion of a dedicated issue, ideally raised by one or several MS
Proposal

• Keep organising dedicated meetings, workshops and webinars – on proposal/request by MS
  • to discuss specific topics and collect feedback from practitioners in the MS, e.g. metadata/catalogues, validation, OGC API, ...
  • to be organised as needed
  • how to better reach out to practitioners?

• MIG-T ad-hoc actions
  • the concept does not seem to work
  • replace by short and dedicated MIWP actions?
Any questions?
You can find me at @michellutz & michael.lutz@ec.europa.mail.me