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OGC API Features (aka wfs3)

- Based on spatial data on the web best practices
- Crawlable by search engines
- Core model in public review
- Implementation was part of the standardisation process, therefore various implementations exist
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OAPI Features and Schema.org

Implementations can combine OAPI Features with schema.org (or DCAT)
Search engines will be able to distinguish datasets and offer dataset search to their users
What will then be the role of Spatial Catalogues and discovery services?
INSPIRE Download Service Adressen Gebaeudereferenzen

Dataset provided by
Bezirksregierung Koeln, Abteilung Geobasis NRW

License

Available download formats from providers
- gml-sf2";version=3.2, geo+json

Time period covered
01.01.1970 - 21.06.2019
Some thoughts...

• Currently catalogues are the facilitators of search engines, wfs2 itself is not crawlable.
• Resources that are not (publicly) available need to be registered anyway.
• Search engines offer discovery, no assessment options.
• How can users distinguish authoritative content?
• Can government delegate the discovery task to the popular search platforms?
Session discussion results
Group 1

CSW is useful for specialized apps (qgis metasearch). It offers capability to add a layer to the qgis project from metadata info only.

The topic of archiving and versioning requires that metadata be closely linked to datasets. Unless the metadata describes more the provenance of the dataset. A challenge here is if the standard itself changes, how does a catalog manage records which are still in the old version of the standard. Should records be updated or leave untouched for archiving purposes.
Group 2

• We should make sure not to delegate the full use case to the search engines.
• New standards developed should be inclusive for external communities.
• CSW offers a niche search experience.
• Spatial portals provide specific tools that analysts need in their work.
• For an any-search you don’t need csw, this can well be managed by search engines.
• In spatial catalogues we have more than datasets, there is codelists, applications, sensors, processes.
• The pallet of OGC standards needs also a catalogue standard to complete the SDI architecture. Other OGC standards depend on this.
• Maybe the question should be: Given we have a catalog standard, what operations should it support?
OGC has a common query language in their O*S standards, it is useful to also use that for catalogue queries.

Spatial community needs a specific set of queryables, such as time/space, scale, crs. Compare it to a used car website, it is more capable to find a relevant car then search engine, due to specific queryables.

You can use google for initial search, and use the catalogue for refinement (similar datasets, facets).

Catalogues provide an option to claim authoritative content. This is often mixed with expecting a high ranking in search engines. Ranking is based on relevance. Search engines may actually be more capable of estimating relevance then catalogues (based on their big data algorythms).

OGC/Earth observation has a typical challenge with granularity. Many quite similar datasets need very specific queryables.

Last comment: if it works, don’t touch it.