Session 4 - Harvesting process (1/5)

**Session 4 Q#1.a - Does the new 'harvesting console' shown during the demo address your needs?**

Score: 4.1
I don't know yet.

An API is needed for integration in other processes (e.g. monitoring)

An API to automatically trigger the harvesting, receive the report, and trigger the push to production.

don`t know yet

access to the console for more than one person (e.g. during absence of responsible person/organisation)

W will be able to say more after we test the new tool

For time being no, but we will see more as soon we will be able to get in ;o)

We will need to probe it to be able to give an opinion

Still not sure.

I think we should pick up a sample of records in
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Session 4 Q#1.b - If you think the 'harvesting console' is missing some functionalities, please indicate them. (2/2)

order to test some ways of implementation before harvesting all records

• scheduling option decide by user complete report of all issues identified
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Session 4 Q#2.a - Should we still provide the option to regularly harvest your metadata automatically?

No, there is no need anymore.  
10 %

Yes.  
90 %
Session 4 Q#2.b - If you replied that there is still a need for regularly harvesting, indicate the optimal frequency:

- Bi-weekly: 44%
- Monthly: 52%
- Quarterly: 4%
- Annually: 0%
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Session 4 Q#3 - Select from the following list the two proposals that you think should be implemented in the harvesting process:

- Accept spatial object types from INSPIRE extensions 33%
- Accept metadata version 2.0 73%
- Improve WCS support 20%
- Improve big data sets support 20%
- Incremental harvesting 40%