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Introduction - What are your expectations with the Geoportal workshop?
(1/3)

- More priority data sets available and accessible
- To get a better understanding on the harvesting procedure and why some of our services fail
- Get answ. for many questions
- To be sure that we are reporting metadata correct and to get more information about what to expect in the future.
- We expect to learn about other countries' technical solutions
- Validation of INSPIRE

View Services in a sandbox platform:
- Information about the future of the Geoportal and how to make it easier to implement metadata-service linking
- More knowlenge about geoportal
- Interoperability questions
- To clarify some misunderstandings and learn little bit more about linkage between national catalogue and INSPIRE geoportal.
- More priority data sets
Introduction - What are your expectations with the Geoportal workshop?
(2/3)

- available in the geoportal
- Better overview of functionality and known problems. A personal connection with creators.
- Learn more about new INSPIRE metadata harvesting process.
- To identify right way to deal with the metadata validation and solving validation issues.
- Understanding what will change regarding metadata
- keep informed about jrc harvesting structure/mechanism
- more knowledge about the geoportal and data service linking
- I would like clarification on which aspects of the metadata harvested from our national discovery service actually influence a) the human interface of the EC Geoportal, and b) the machine readable interface of the EC Geoportal I would also like an insight into best practice metadata encoding for us to use
- Understand better how...
Introduction - What are your expectations with the Geoportal workshop?

(3/3)

- The Geoportal displays MS INSPIRE data
- Search function in Geoportal.
- Clarification about the link between Dataset and Network services especially for the as-is dataset
- To get better feedback on what's expected from member-states related to metadata and data
- To solve some problems and issues in our metadata catalogue.
- Clarification of data-service linkage
- Inspiration how to continue with further Geoportal improvement on national and EU level
- More knowledge about the logic behind Geoportal
- More functionality
- Discover new fantastic functions from the Geoportal.
- More downloadable data in Geoportal
Session 1 Q# 1 - Is there a GENERAL understanding of the Geoportal back-end including the process of transformation, checking and publishing?

Yes, it is clear 41%

No, it remains unclear 0%

It is clearer but still more support is needed 59%
Session 1 - Resource linking (2/3)

Session 1 Q# 2 - How useful would you rate the resource-linking testing tool shown during the presentation?

Score: 4.6
Session 1 Q# 3 - Are you planning to extend your data set metadata according to the 'simplified data service-linking option' within the next 6 months?

Yes: 52%  
No: 10%  
Do not know yet: 38%
Multiple-choice poll

Session 2 - Software usage (1/3)

Session 2 Q#1.a - Do you find useful that we keep and you maintain the information provided on the software implementation details?

Yes 73 %
No 3 %
Not sure 23 %
Session 2 Q#1.b - If you agree to the creation of such an MS implementation details list, should it be open or protected?

Open access
73%

Protected for the Geoportal group only
27%
Session 2 Q#2 - Would you be willing to share technical issues found related to specific software (e.g. within the INSPIRE Community Forum (former Thematic Clusters platform))? 

Yes: 77% 
No: 7% 
Yes but only within the Geoportal group: 17%
Session 3 Q#1 - Would you like to continue working together to provide light set of recommendations for organising data in order to enhance data usability?

Yes 75%
No 0%
Do not know yet 25%
Session 3 Q#2.a - What type of recommendations would you like to be involved in?

(1/2)

- better layer organisation for view services
  - 46%

- better data set / feature types organisation for download services
  - 75%

- use of data set series concept
  - 29%

- use of authentication layer
  - 39%
Session 3 Q#2.a - What type of recommendations would you like to be involved in?

(2/2)

Other, specify in the next question

7 %
Session 3 Q#2.b - Please specify which other light recommendations

- How to manage big datasets
- use of persistent IDs
- ref OGC's newly published OGC Web Services Security
In addition, it would be good to have something on simple HTTP access directly to a dataset or spatial object, as in the Data on the Web Best Practice
- view and download services
- use of data set series concept
- authentication layer - feature types
- To develop use cases for services and improve INSPIRE usability
- better layer organisation for view services better data set / feature types organisation for download services
- Radical simplification of predefined dataset download services
- keep it simple
Session 4 Q#1.a - Does the new 'harvesting console' shown during the demo address your needs?

Score: 4.1
Open text poll

Session 4 - Harvesting process (2/5)

Session 4 Q#1.b - If you think the 'harvesting console' is missing some functionalities, please indicate them. (1/2)

- I don't know yet.
- An API is needed for integration in other processes (e.g. monitoring)
- An API to automatically trigger the harvesting, receive the report, and trigger the push to production.
- I don't know yet
- access to the console for more than one person (e.g. during absence of responsible person/organisation)
- W will be able to say more after we test the new tool
- For time being no, but we will see more as soon we will be able to get in ;o)
- We will need to probe it to be able to give an opinion
- Still not sure.
- I think we should pick up a sample of records in
Session 4 - Harvesting process (2/5)

**Session 4 Q#1.b - If you think the 'harvesting console' is missing some functionalities, please indicate them.**

(2/2)

order to test some ways of implementation before harvesting all records

- scheduling option decide by user complete report of all issues identified
Multiple-choice poll

Session 4 - Harvesting process (3/5)

Session 4 Q#2.a - Should we still provide the option to regularly harvest your metadata automatically?

No, there is no need anymore.

Yes.

---

No: 10%
Yes: 90%
Session 4 - Harvesting process (4/5)

**Session 4 Q#2.b - If you replied that there is still a need for regularly harvesting, indicate the optimal frequency:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bi-weekly</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Session 4 Q#3 - Select from the following list the two proposals that you think should be implemented in the harvesting process:

- Accept spatial object types from INSPIRE extensions (33%)
- Accept metadata version 2.0 (73%)
- Improve WCS support (20%)
- Improve big data sets support (20%)
- Incremental harvesting (40%)
Multiple-choice poll (Multiple answers)

Session 5 - Geoportal user interface (1/2)

**Session 5 Q#1 - Select the three proposals that you think should be implemented in the Geoportal** (1/2)

INSPIRE analytics (resource browser 2.0) 82%

English metadata translation 61%

Making the geoportal resources indexable by search engines 57%

Add a map viewer (WebGIS) 29%

Add monitoring information 50%
Session 5 Q#1 - Select the three proposals that you think should be implemented in the Geoportal (2/2)
Session 5 - Geoportal user interface (2/2)

Session 5 Q#2 - Do you have another suggestion for improving the Geoportal?

- Have more results by page
- Filtering for harmonized/unharmonized data
- Filter selection
- Not translation, but displaying alternative languages included in the national metadata
- Filtering for harmonized/unharmonized data
- Support for metadata in all European languages
- Search field (drop down list or free text field)
- Index of metadata according to responsible organisations.
Session 6 Q#1 - Do you consider useful to exploit the Geoportal Helpdesk Knowledge Base, (e.g extracting the content into set of FAQs; improving the user interface)

Yes 88%

No, the current system is fine 4%

I do not know 8%
**Wrap-up - Do you consider that it is worth meeting regularly to discuss on Geoportal related-topics including its improvement status? Select the option that suit you best:**

- +/- yearly face-to-face meetings: 56%
- +/- Quarterly virtual 2-hour meetings: 15%
- Adhoc: 30%
- It is not necessary: 0%
Satisfaction - How would you rate the workshop in relation to your initial expectations?

Score: 4.1