



Monitoring and evaluation of CLLD

FARNET MA meeting – 24/11/2016
Jean-Pierre Vercruysse – DG MARE

Structure of presentation

- **Monitoring: legal framework**
- **Monitoring: reporting on each operation**
- **Evaluation: legal framework**
- **M&E: support for FLAGs**
- **Evaluating the added value of CLLD**

Monitoring CLLD: legal requirements (1)

- CPR 27, content of OPs: Each priority sets out indicators and targets ... in order to assess progress in programme implementation .. as the basis for monitoring, evaluation and review of performance.
 - **Financial**
 - **Output**
 - **Result**
- Fund-specific rules set out common indicators

Monitoring CLLD: legal requirements (2)

- CPR 125.2 (d): Functions of the MA. Establish a system to record and store in computerised form data on each operation necessary for monitoring, evaluation, ...
- EMFF 107. Establishment of a CMES
- EMFF IR 1242 and 1243/2014: Rules on the presentation of data on operations
 - **Synergy with DA 480/2014, list of data to be recorded and stored**
- EMFF 97.1: report 31 March each year on each operation selected for funding
- AIR: cumulative information

IR 1242+1243/2014: what applies to CLLD?

- Basic information on each FLAG selected:
 - **Population, partnership, FTE, budget**
- 24 fields for each operation supported by FLAG:
 - **Operation: name, location, date approval, ...**
 - **Beneficiary: type, (gender), size if enterprise, ...**
 - **Budget: allocated – paid**
 - **Type: adding value, diversification, environment, governance, socio-cultural, running costs**
 - **State of progress**
 - **Results: expected – validated**
 - **Cooperation project: type and number of partners**

Evaluating CLLD: legal requirements

- CPR 33.1 (f): LDS shall contain a description of the ... monitoring arrangements ... and specific arrangements for evaluation
- CPR 34. (g): Tasks of the FLAG include monitoring the implementation of the LDS...and carrying out specific evaluation activities linked to the LDS,
- CPR 35.1 (d): Running costs include costs linked to monitoring and evaluation of LDS

Need to support FLAGS for these new tasks

- Monitoring:
 - **Clear forms for each operation supported by FLAGS, if possible on-line;**
 - **Make sure FLAGS collect all the data needed from beneficiaries;**
 - **Validation of results based on information provided by beneficiary; sample checks by MAs for reliability**

Need to support FLAGs for these new tasks

- Evaluation of each LDS:
 - **Compulsory but local focus**
 - Provide a framework that will ensure local level evaluations feed into evaluation at OP level
 - Standard ToR for all FLAGs if external evaluators
 - FAME 2017?
 - **Many FLAG have small budget and can't use external evaluators**
 - Self-evaluation is eligible and an acceptable method
 - Complements the evaluation work done at OP level: better data, qualitative insight

Evaluation of added value of CLLD

- 3 common result indicators: FTE created or maintained, businesses created
- But, CLLD is more than a way of creating jobs
- Three suggestions on added value:
 - **Social capital: capacity-building, interactions, communication**
 - **Governance: participation of civil society, capacity-building of all actors involved**
 - **Better results: enhanced results compared to standard measures, better performance of fisheries sector locally**
- More work needed on these



Thank you for your attention!