

## Court staff training systems in the EU

## Slovenia

Information provided by: Judicial Training Centre

May, 2014

DESCRIPTION OF THE NATIONAL TRAINING SYSTEM FOR COURT STAFF in Slovenia		
1. Training of court staff		
Legal basis/ regulatory documents on training	<u>Uradni list RS 19 / 1994</u> (Courts Act)	
Categories/ professions concerned	In Slovenian: sodniški pomočnik	
	In English: Judicial Assistant (they carry out duties comparable to	
	those of the German <i>"Rechtspfleger"</i> )	
	Other court staff categories:	
	1. <b>Tehnično osebje - techinical assistants</b> (HRM, finance,	
	accountant, etc)	
	2. Strojepiska - typist	
	3. <b>Vpisničar -registrar</b>	
	4. Sodniški pomočniki- judicial assistant	
	5. <b>Strokovni sodelavec- law clerk</b> (they have to have masters	
	degree of law (Bologna process) and State law exam. They are	
	actually main candidats for future judges)	
	6. Director of the court -managemer	
	(they have to hold Master degrees in law or economics)	

2. Induction period		
Is there an induction period?	NO - There is no induction period in the Slovenian system	
Structure responsible for rules	<ul><li>National Ministry of Justice</li><li>National Training Provider</li></ul>	
Compulsory	NO	
Description	Length: N/A	
	Form:	
	N/A	
	Curriculum:	
	N/A	
Options	Linguistic training:	
	N/A	
	Training in another MS during the induction period:	
	N/A	
3. Continuous training system		
Continuous training available?	YES	
Financial support by	Ministry of Justice     Govern Complete	
	<ul><li>Court Services</li><li>Prosecution Services</li></ul>	
Specificities	Continuous training is not mandatory	
	Methods used:	
	<ul><li>Attending face-to-face training sessions</li><li>Completing distance training sessions</li></ul>	

	Dua sur urana a sur ilabia at
	Programme available at
	National level
	Includes EU law aspects:
	<b>Yes</b> - training courses on
	<ul><li>EU legislation</li><li>EU cross-border judicial procedures</li></ul>
Training in another MS during continuous training	NO, due to budget issues and linguistic obstacles
	Specific conditions: N/A
Exchanges-Participation in training activities in another MS as a training activity for continuous training	NO - it is too costly
Continuous training impact on professional evaluation of a person	NO - Participation in continuous training is not taken into consideration for the professional evaluation of a court staff employee
4. Evaluation of training a	ctivities
Regarding induction period training activities	N/A - There is no induction period training
Regarding continuous training activities	YES, there is an available scheme for the evaluation of continuous training activities.
	It is done via surveys
5. Assessment of needs	
Assessment scheme description	Yes  The scheme is applied through calls for proposals and the Judicial Training Center is the competent authority

## 6. Foreseen reform

N/A.

Source: Pilot Project - European Judicial Training: "Lot 3 – State of play of training of court staff in EU law and promotion of cooperation between training providers at EU level", carried out by the European Institute of Public Administration (EIPA) together with a consortium