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Conclusions

• We have given preference to a quantitative assessment methodology similar as in surveys compared to a pure ranking procedure or a procedure being less valid as the prime method to describe dietary intake in cohort.

• We have tested the web based approach in adult study populations but found that it might take more time to have participation rates similar to paper versions.

• In terms of feasibility, more than two contacts (24-h-recalls) will result in a drop of participation, favoring a non-linear calibration approach.

• It is still unclear whether web based or other new technologies can provide ranking instruments better than FPQs in respect to ranking and feasibility.
Future aspects

- Development of simple and easy to apply short term ranking instruments
- Promotion of use of web based technologies for epidemiological studies
- Further statistical innovation for construction and use of dietary instruments
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